

Town of Fairhaven RECEIVED TOWN CLERK

Town Hall - 40 Centre Street - Fairhaven, MA 02719 JUL 19 P I: 47

MEETING NOTES

FAIRHAVEN, MASS.

Date, Time, Location:

April 29th, 2021 @ 7:00 P.M., Remote through ZOOM

Meeting Overview:

- 1. Meeting called to order
- 2. Past meeting minutes approval
- 3. Continued Discussion of the Articles
 - a. (in the order discussed) Articles 4, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 23, 56, 24, 25, 26, 32, 33, 35, 39, 40, 47, 48, 55
- 4. Closing Remarks
- 5. Vote to adjourn

-Key-

BOLD = Issue being discussed

- Notes, indentation for more notes
- = Questions and discussions below
- ♦ = Votes (Nom/Second)
- ! = Change in attendance

Member Attendance (10):

 Padraic Elliot, Lisa Plante, Chris Fidalgo, Bernie Roderick, Rob Grinrod, Tracy Diggins, Jessica Dwelly, Carolyn Roberts, Kathi Carter, Jennifer Dupras (joined late)

Town of Fairhaven (3):

• Wendy Graves (Collector/Treasurer/Finance Director), Vinnie Furtado (BPW), Doug Brady (Rogers Re Use Committee)

Meeting called, 7:01 PM

PAST MINUTES

• Finance Committee 4.15.2021

♦ Bernie, Lisa, (9 - 0)

TONIGHT'S AGENDA

! Kevin Gallagher has resigned from FinCom

- Revenue projections will get redone
- Gov.'t funding will be brought in later
- May 10th will have the reworked General Fund

Continued Discussion of the Articles

Article 4, Setting Salaries of the Town Officers

- Board of Health plans to forgo their salaries
- Carolyn: Would it be more beneficial for them to select the salaries and then donate the money? Wendy: Shouldn't necessarily be better
 - o Carolyn: I was recommended because of my work in nonprofits.
- Lisa: Is this just the Tree Warden's salary and not for any additional positions? Wendy: Yes, just his salary
 - o Pad: His workers are funded from their own line item

♦ Recommend to Town Meeting, all items except E. Board of Health, Lisa, Rob, (9 - 0)

Article 7, Roadwork FY21

- What was planned for last year but was not done due to clerical error
- Rob: Do we have the money for this work? Wendy: Yes, the money was earmarked for this
- Pad: Fisherman is a dirt road, could you explain the maintenance cost?
 - o Vinnie: Man hours, materials, probably about \$1,000 a year, depending on certain variables (water, use, etc.)
- Pad: Now an aggregate amount vs. a line item per road, since that's more versatile

♦ Recommend to Town Meeting, Chris, Lisa (9 - 0)

! Jennifer Dupras joins the meeting

Article 10, Water Enterprise Fund

- Dealing with salaries and operating expenses
- Pad: What about other expenditures? Wendy: I would vote all of those as well
- Salaries are just contractual adjustments, non-union step increases
- ♦ Recommend to Town Meeting, Chris, Lisa (10 0)

! Jennifer Dupras had connection issues and couldn't vote on all articles

Article 11, Sewer Enterprise Fund

♦ Recommend to Town Meeting, Lisa, Bernie (9 - 0)

Article 12, Town Cable Television Enterprise Fund

- Higher because of money moved for Professional Salaries
- ♦ Recommend to Town Meeting, Lisa, Bernie (9 0)

Article 13, School Cable Television Enterprise Fund

♦ Recommend to Town Meeting, Lisa, Rob (9 - 0)

Article 14, General Fund Capital Plan FY22

- Pad: Is there an update to wording? Wendy: Yes, the SCBA and Fire Engine will be written as from borrowing
 - o Pad: When will we see this wording? Wendy: Probably a few weeks away
- Pad: If push came to shove, I would pay for A. with free cash but not F.
- Wendy: Could balance with stabilization instead, but that's not desirable
- Vinnie: There's an additional charge for the PDFs, and the plotter scanner would save on that
- Pad: I'd be more comfortable voting on wants when

♦ Recommend to Town Meeting, B, C, E, H, Lisa, Carolyn, (9 - 0)

Article 17: Roadwork FY22

- Pad: Comfortable neglecting paved roads vs. fixing the dirt roads? Vinnie: BPW didn't vote for A. Sherry petitioned for it, but the BPW didn't vote on A.
- Lisa: Do you not have the funds for all 5 roads? Vinnie: Could make it work if supplemented with Chapter 90
- Wendy: I would suggest to skip this article for now so the language and spreadsheet can get updated
- Pad: The east side of the road looked fine? Vinnie: Should be from 45 to the end
- Rob: Is B a citizen petition? Vinnie: Yes, and the BPW voted on it

Article 23, Community Presentation Program Appropriations

• Should be skipped over for reworked wording and line items

Article 56, Rehab of Roger School

- SMEC is interested in the newer wing only for additional space
- SMEC is planning to invest serious money into this building
- There's significant costs for demolishing
- Currently, estimates are being drawn up, and SMEC would like to start working on this plan very soon
- Rob: What's currently being drawn up to amortize the cost of the investment for the town? Doug: Currently looking for a 10 year contract with reinvestment into the building
- Rob: Have you considered the parking lot? Doug: Currently being investigated for the building and the town
- Lisa: The new portion of the building appears to be in good condition, and it appears to be an asset rather than a burden
- Doug: SMEC is currently looking for how to deal with what renovation cost vs. the cost to the town
 - O Doug: The investment is substantial, but they are looking for the town to help and/or match the investment cost
- Rob: What's the intention of utilities provided? Doug: AC will be up to SMEC, heating would look at contract discussions, for electricity looking at solar panels
- Bernie: What's the potential fiscal cost to the town, such as what percentage? Doug: The moth ball cost for the older wing, but the newer part would be covered by SMEC

- Rob: What happens to the older part of the building, if we have these tenants for 10 years? Doug: A firewall would separate the two buildings.
 - O Doug: This could benefit the other section by renovating the newer wing.
- Bernie: Have the selectboard voted yet? Doug: Going before the selectboard May 10th
- Pad: Would this need \$425k from the town? Doug: Yes
 - o Pad: And from where? Doug: Unclear just yet
- Pad: Has the previous developer been removed? Doug: The selectboard declined to move forward with the previous developer, and SMEC was approached after
- Kathi: It feels like there's too many questions currently.
- Tracy: What is the cost to knock down the building? Wendy: Well over \$2m several years ago
 - o Tracy: It seems like there will still be money involved in the cost to the older side
 - Doug: Numerous quotes for knocking everything down was around \$2m, but the renovation would remove the asbestos, which was the largest demo cost
 - Doug: The old building does not have asbestos
- Pad: Would best to have a spreadsheet that explains all the details
 - o Tracy: Would good to know what the town has spent so far
- Wendy: Trying to pursue grants for the old building
- Doug: No money will be spent until a contract is handled
- Kathi: Who would do the demo cost? Doug: It's involved in the submission of the RFP

Article 24, Mooring Fees Revolving Funds

• Still requires more work, so being held off for right now

Article 25, Historical Commission Grant Match

• Requires more information about the standing of the general fund budget

Article 26, Fema Grant Engine 2 5% Match

- Rob: Could we take money elsewhere to fund the 5% match?
 - o Pad: This money is already earmarked
 - o Rob: I'd prefer the money come from somewhere else
 - Pad: The options are to be in favor or not in favor, or to name an alternative funding source
 - Pad: You would have to take up the Capital Planning Budgets and vote against them

♦ Recommend to Town Meeting, Rob, Chris (10 - 0)

Article 32, Revolving Funds

• Pad: I'd like to vote on everything except the mooring fees. Can we do that? Wendy: Should hold off till next week

Article 33, Land Acquisition & Engineering Design Services for the PCS

- Being passed over currently
- ♦ Not voted on at this time

Article 35, Short Term Rentals

Wendy: Not finalized by the planning board

Article 39, Historical Lamps

• Pad: Would prefer to hold off for now, as it is a want

Article 40, Checkout Bag Charge Authorization

♦ Yield to Petitioner, Lisa, Rob (9 - 0)

Article 47, Increase Selectboard from 3 to 5

- Pad: At town meeting, will yield, but will make a recommendation for how to fund it
- Pad: More members could mean less work for each Selectboard member
- Pad: The FinCom should work through the proposals so far and have a recommendation
- Bernie: The focus of this is on voting, not the financial impact. Pad: I agree, which is why we plan to yield to petitioner
- Jess: My proposal was not formal and just an example.
- Pad: The wording appears incorrect, so I don't expect the article to move very far.
- Carolyn: Why is the pay what it is? Wendy: Started at a flat rate but has risen by 2%
- Lisa: I'd be comfortable with the motion of keeping the pay the same and dividing by the count of selectboard members, seconded by Carolyn
- Bernie: I would make a motion for the \$34,335 and keep the pay per selectboard member the same, seconded by Rob
- Pad: Do they pay taxes on this income? Wendy: Yes.
- ♦ Recommendation of \$34,335, divided by the number of selectboard members, (2 7, not approved)
- ♦ Recommendation of \$20,601, divided by the number of selectboard members, (8 1)
- ♦ For the article, Yield to Petitioner, Lisa, Rob (9 0)

Article 48, Charter Committee

- Holding off because this appears more as a want than a need
- Bernie: I'm in favor of this article, and that this money will be well spent to improve the town government
- Lisa: It feels like a new layer of government and therefore redundant and unnecessary
 - o Bernie: This is a recommending body and not a new functioning department
- Carolyn: Does feel like this is needed and worth looking at, given that other towns now have
- Rob: I agree with Bernie

Article 55, Street Lights

- Pad: The old wire inspector is no longer employed, and the poles currently appear mismarked
- Pad: It's unclear why we would recommend this vs. our current standard of every other pole

CLOSING REMARKS

• Rob: What is Article 58 pertaining to? Wendy: It's about drainage about a property, but it's a carryover from last year

- Pad: Currently lacks the wording to vote
 Pad: Will meet next week, likely shorter

♦ Vote to adjourn, Lisa, Chris (9 - 0)

Christopher Fidalgo, Secretary