

Prepared by GCG ASSOCIATES, INC. 84 Main Street, Wilmington, MA 01887

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- WPA Form 3 NOTICE OF INTENT
- NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
- Fairhaven Conservation Commission Application Checklist Notice of Intent
- Conservation Commission 21-Day Waiver

I. PROJECT NARRATIVE

- Project Summary
- o Jurisdiction
- Proposed Work
- o Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards
- Checklist for Stormwater Report

II. APPENDICES

- > Appendix A: Project Maps
 - Resource Area Cove Street
 - USGS Site Locus Map
 - FEMA Flood Map Number
 - 25005C0394H, effective date July 6, 2021 & 25005C0413G, effective date July 6, 2021.
 - GIS Wetland Map
 - NHESP Map
- > Appendix B: Soil Report
 - o Web Soil Survey, USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service
- > Appendix C: Stormwater Standards
 - Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention Plan
 - Standard 9: Long Term Operation & Maintenance Plan
 - Standard 10: Illicit Discharge Statement
- > Appendix D: Project Abutter Information
 - Notification to Abutters
 - Certified List of Abutters 100'

III REFERENCES

• Cove Street Improvement, Town of Fairhaven, Massachusetts, Dated: 04-18-2023, last revised 02-21-2024. By: GCG Associates, Inc.

Cove Street Improvement Project Cove Street Fairhaven, MA GCG File #21109



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection **eDEP Transaction Copy**

Here is the file you requested for your records.

To retain a copy of this file you must save and/or print.

Username: TONY-MA Transaction ID: 1677056 Document: WPA Form 3 - NOI Size of File: 273.98K Status of Transaction: In Process Date and Time Created: 2/12/2024:4:09:35 PM

Note: This file only includes forms that were part of your transaction as of the date and time indicated above. If you need a more current copy of your transaction, return to eDEP and select to "Download a Copy" from the Current Submittals page.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 - Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File #: eDEP Transaction #:1677056 City/Town:FAIRHAVEN

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

A.General Information

1.	Project	Location:
----	---------	-----------

a. Street Address	COVE STREET		
b. City/Town	FAIRHAVEN	c. Zip Code	02719
d. Latitude	41.62850N	e. Longitude	70.87507W
f. Map/Plat #	28B	g.Parcel/Lot #	COVE STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY

2. Applicant:

□ Individual □ Organization

a. First Namec. Organizationd. Mailing Addresse. City/Townh. Phone Number	VINCENT FAIRHAVEN BPV 5 ARSENE STREE FAIRHAVE 508-979-4030	W (BOAF ET f. State i. Fax	b.Last Name RD OF PUBLIC WC MA 508-979-4086	FURTADO DRKS) g. Zip Code j. Email	02719 vfurtado@fairhaven-ma.gov
3.Property Owner:					
\square more than one owner					
a. First Name c. Organization d. Mailing Address	VINCENT FAIRHAVEN BPV 5 ARSENE STREE	V (BOAR ET	b. Last Name D OF PUBLIC WO	FURTADO PRKS)	

e. City/Town	FAIRHAVEN	f.State	MA	g. Zip Code	e 02719
h. Phone Number	508-9/9-4030	1. Fax	508-9/9-4086	j.Email	vfurtado@fairhaven-ma.gov
Representative:					
a. First Name	MICHAEL		b. Last Name	CARTER	
c. Organization	GCG ASSOCIATES	S.NET			
d. Mailing Address	84 MAIN STREET				
e City/Town	WII MINGTON	f State	MΔ	a Zin Code	01887

e. eng/ roun		n. State Ithi	B. Lip coue	01007
h.Phone Number	978-657-9714	i.Fax	j.Email	mike.carter@gcgassociates.net

5. Total WPA Fee Paid (Automatically inserted from NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form):

a.Total Fee Paid	0.00	b.State Fee Paid

6.General Project Description:

INSTALL TWO NEW CATCH BASINS AND CONVERT AN EXISTING DROP INLET STRUCTURE TO DRAINAGE MANHOLE FOR DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS. NEW WATER MAIN TO LOOP THE EXISTING WATER NETWORK, NEW HYDRANT AND ASSOCIATED WATER SERVICES.

7a.Project Type:

5. Dock/Pier

1. Single Family Home

2.
Residential Subdivision

0.00 c.City/Town Fee Paid

- 4. Commercial/Industrial
- 6. 🔽 Utilities
- 7. Coastal Engineering Structure

3. Limited Project Driveway Crossing

8. Agriculture (eg., cranberries, forestry)

9. Transportation

Page 1 of 7 * ELECTRONIC COPY

0.00

10. Cother



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands **WPA Form 3 - Notice of Intent** Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File #: eDEP Transaction #:1677056 City/Town:FAIRHAVEN

7b.Is any portion of the proposed activity eligible to be treated as a limited project subject to 310 CMR 10.24 (coastal) or 310 CMR 10.53 (inland)?

1. $\overrightarrow{\text{Yes}}$ No If yes, describe which limited project applies to this project:

2. Limited 310 CMR 10.24 (7)(C)1 - MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENT OF EXISTING PUBLIC ROADWAYS, Project BUT LIMITED TO WIDENING LESS THAN A SINGLE LANE, ADDING SHOULDERS, CORRECTING SUBSTANDARD INTERSECTIONS, AND IMPROVING DRAINAGE SYSTEMS.

8. Property recorded at the Registry of Deeds for:

a.County:	b.Certificate:	c.Book:	d.Page:
SOUTHERN BRISTOL			

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) 1.Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent):

□ This is a Buffer Zone only project - Check if the project is located only in the Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland, Inland Bank, or Coastal Resource Area.

2.Inland Resource Areas: (See 310 CMR 10.54 - 10.58, if not applicable, go to Section B.3. Coastal Resource Areas)

Resource Area	Size of Proposed Alteration Prop	osed Replacement (if any)
a.∏ Bank	1 linear feet	2 linear feet
h 🗖 Bordering Vegetated Wetland	1. micu rect	2. medi leet
b.i Bordening vegetaled wehand	1. square feet	2. square feet
c. ☐ Land under Waterbodies and Waterways	1. Square feet	2. square feet
	3. cubic yards dredged	
d. TBordering Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	2. square feet
	3. cubic feet of flood storage lost	4. cubic feet replaced
e. TIsolated Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	
	2. cubic feet of flood storage lost	3. cubic feet replaced
f.		
	1. Name of Waterway (if any)	
2. Width of Riverfront Area (check one)	☐ 25 ft Designated Densely Deve ☐ 100 ft New agricultural projec ☐ 200 ft All other projects	eloped Areas only ts only
2. Total area of Diverfront Area on the site of the pr	anagad project	

3. Total area of Riverfront Area on the site of the proposed project

4. Proposed Alteration of the Riverfront Area:

square feet

Page 2 of 7 * ELECTRONIC COPY



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands WPA Form 3 - Notice of Intent Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File #: eDEP Transaction #:1677056 City/Town:FAIRHAVEN

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

a. total square feet	b. square feet within 100 ft.	c. square feet between 100 ft. and 200 ft.	
5. Has an alternatives analysis been done and is it attached to this NOI?			
6. Was the lot where the a	ctivity is proposed created prior	to August 1, 1996?	□ Yes□ No

3.Coastal Resource Areas: (See 310 CMR 10.25 - 10.35)

Resource Area		Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
a. □ Designated Port Areas	Indicate size under	Land under the ocean b	pelow,
b.□ Land Under the Ocean	1. square feet		
	2. cubic yards dredged		
c.□ Barrier Beaches	Indicate size under Coastal Beach	es and/or Coatstal Dunes, bel	ow
d.□ Coastal Beaches	1. square feet	2. cubic yards beach no	purishment
e. 🗆 Coastal Dunes	1. square feet	2. cubic yards dune not	ırishment
f.□ Coastal Banks	1. linear feet		
g. 🗆 Rocky Intertidal Shores	1. square feet		
h. 🗆 Salt Marshes	1. square feet	2. sq ft restoration, reh	ab, crea.
i.□ Land Under Salt Ponds	1. square feet		
	2. cubic yards dredged		
j. 🗆 Land Containing Shellfish	1. square feet		
k. 🗆 Fish Runs	Indicate size under Coastal Banks Under Waterbodies and Waterwa	, Inland Bank, Land Under th ys, above	e Ocean, and/or inland Land
	1. cubic yards dredged		
I. I Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage	8300 1. square feet		
4.Restoration/Enhancement			

□ Restoration/Replacement

If the project is for the purpose of restoring or enhancing a wetland resource area in addition to the square footage that has been entered in Section B.2.b or B.3.h above, please entered the additional amount here.

a. square feet of BVW

b. square feet of Salt Marsh

Page 3 of 7 * ELECTRONIC COPY



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 - Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File #: eDEP Transaction #:1677056 City/Town:FAIRHAVEN

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

5. Projects Involves Stream Crossings

Project Involves Streams Crossings

If the project involves Stream Crossings, please enter the number of new stream crossings/number of replacement stream crossings.

a. number of new stream crossings

b. number of replacement stream crossings

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements

Streamlined Massachusetts Endangered Species Act/Wetlands Protection Act Review

1. Is any portion of the proposed project located in Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage of Endangered Species program (NHESP)?

a. TYes 🔽 No

> If yes, include proof of mailing or hand delivery of NOI to: Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program Division of Fisheries and Wildlife 1 Rabbit Hill Road Westborough, MA 01581

b. Date of map:FROM MAP VIEWER

If yes, the project is also subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18)....

c. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review * (Check boxes as they apply)

1. □ Percentage/acreage of property to be altered:

(a) within Wetland Resource Area percentage/acreage (b) outside Resource Area percentage/acreage

2. Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site

3. Project plans for entire project site, including wetland resource areas and areas outside of wetland jurisdiction, showing existing and proposed conditions, existing and proposed tree/vegetation clearing line, and clearly demarcated limits of work **

a. TProject description (including description of impacts outside of wetland resource area & buffer zone)

b. Photographs representative of the site

c. TMESA filing fee (fee information available at: <u>http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/dfg/dfw/natural-heritage/regulatory-</u> review/mass-endangered-species-act-mesa/mesa-fee-schedule.html)

Make check payable to "Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Fund" and mail to NHESP at above address

Projects altering 10 or more acres of land, also submit:

d.□ Vegetation cover type map of site

e. Project plans showing Priority & Estimated Habitat boundaries

d. OR Check One of the following

1. Project is exempt from MESA review. Attach applicant letter indicating which MESA exemption applies. (See 321 CMR 10.14, http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/dfg/dfw/laws-regulations/cmr/321-cmr-1000-massachusetts-endangeredspecies-act.html#10.14; the NOI must still be sent to NHESP if the project is within estimated habitat pursuant to 310 CMR 10.37 and 10.59.)

2. Separate MESA review ongoing.

Page 4 of 7 * ELECTRONIC COPY



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 - Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File #: eDEP Transaction #:1677056 City/Town:FAIRHAVEN

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

- a. NHESP Tracking Number
- b. Date submitted to NHESP
- 3. □ Separate MESA review completed.

Include copy of NHESP "no Take" determination or valid Conservation & Management Permit with approved plan.

- * Some projects not in Estimated Habitat may be located in Priority Habitat, and require NHESP review...
- 2. For coastal projects only, is any portion of the proposed project located below the mean high waterline or in a fish run? a. □ Not applicable - project is in inland resource area only

b. 🗆 Yes 🗹 No

If yes, include proof of mailing or hand delivery of NOI to either: South Shore - Cohasset to Rhode Island, and the Cape & Islands:

Division of Marine Fisheries -Southeast Marine Fisheries Station Attn: Environmental Reviewer 836 S. Rodney French Blvd New Bedford, MA 02744 North Shore - Hull to New Hampshire:

Division of Marine Fisheries -North Shore Office Attn: Environmental Reviewer 30 Emerson Avenue Gloucester, MA 01930

If yes, it may require a Chapter 91 license. For coastal towns in the Northeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Boston Office. For coastal towns in the Southeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Southeast Regional office.

3. Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC)?

If yes, provide name of ACEC (see instructions to WPA Form 3 or DEP Website for ACEC locations). **Note:** electronic filers click on Website.

b. ACEC Name

4. Is any portion of the proposed project within an area designated as an Outstanding Resource Water (ORW) as designated in the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards, 314 CMR 4.00?

a. 🗆 Yes 🔽 No

- 5. Is any portion of the site subject to a Wetlands Restriction Order under the Inland Wetlands Restriction Act (M.G.L.c. 131, § 40A) or the Coastal Wetlands Restriction Act (M.G.L.c. 130, § 105)?
 - a. 🗌 Yes 🗹 No
- 6. Is this project subject to provisions of the MassDEP Stormwater Management Standards?
 - a. Ves, Attach a copy of the Stormwater Report as required by the Stormwater Management Standards per 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)-(q) and check if:
 - 1. Applying for Low Impact Development (LID) site design credits (as described in Stormwater Management Handbook
 - □ Vol.2, Chapter 3)
 - $\stackrel{2.}{\blacktriangleright}$ A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment
 - 3. Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Management System

Page 5 of 7 * ELECTRONIC COPY



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 - Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File #: eDEP Transaction #:1677056 City/Town:FAIRHAVEN

January 09, 2024

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

- $\frac{1}{\Box}$ Single Family Home
- 2. Emergency Road Repair
- 3. Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 single-family houses or less than or equal to 4 units in multi-family
- housing project) with no discharge to Critical Areas.

D. Additional Information

Applicants must include the following with this Notice of Intent (NOI). See instructions for details.

Online Users: Attach the document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) for any of the following information you submit to the Department by regular mail delivery.

- 1. USGS or other map of the area (along with a narrative description, if necessary) containing sufficient information for the
- Conservation Commission and the Department to locate the site. (Electronic filers may omit this item.)
- 2. Plans identifying the location of proposed activities (including activities proposed to serve as a Bordering Vegetated Wetland
- ✓ [BVW] replication area or other mitigating measure) relative to the boundaries of each affected resource area.
- 3. Identify the method for BVW and other resource area boundary delineations (MassDEP BVW Field Data Form(s).
- Determination of Applicability, Order of Resource Area Delineation, etc.), and attach documentation of the methodology.
- 4. List the titles and dates for all plans and other materials submitted with this NOI.

a. Plan Title: b. Plan Prepared By: c. Plan Signed/Stamped By: c. Revised Final Date: e. Scale:

COVE STREET UTILITIES IMPROVEMENT, NOTICE OF INTENT, NOTICE OF INTENT,

PLAN AND PROFILE.

5. If there is more than one property owner, please attach a list of these property owners not listed on this form.

Attach proof of mailing for Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, if needed.

Г 7. Г

7. Attach proof of mailing for Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, if needed.

8. Attach NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form.

- **₽** 9.
- Attach Stormwater Report, if needed.
- •

Page 6 of 7 * ELECTRONIC COPY



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands WPA Form 3 - Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File #: eDEP Transaction #:1677056 City/Town:FAIRHAVEN

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

E. Fees 1.

Fee Exempt: No filing fee shall be assessed for projects of any city, town, county, or district of the Commonwealth, federally recognized Indian tribe housing authority, municipal housing authority, or the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority.

Applicants must submit the following information (in addition to pages 1 and 2 of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form) to confirm fee payment:

2. Municipal Check Number	3. Check date
4. State Check Number	5. Check date
6. Payer name on check: First Name	7. Payer name on check: Last Name

6. Payer name on check: First Name

F. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the wetlands regulations, 310 CMR 10.05(5)(a).

I further certify under penalties of perjury that all abutters were notified of this application, pursuant to the requirements of M.G.L. c. 131, § 40. Notice must be made by Certificate of Mailing or in writing by hand delivery or certified mail (return receipt requested) to all abutters within 100 feet of the property line of the project location.

Vincent D. Furtado	2/12/2024
1. Signature of Applicant	2. Date
Vincent D. Furtado	2/12/2024
3. Signature of Property Owner(if different)	4. Date
Michael J. Carter	2/12/2024
5. Signature of Representative (if any)	6. Date

For Conservation Commission:

Two copies of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, two copies of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and the city/town fee payment, to the Conservation Commission by certified mail or hand delivery.

For MassDEP:

One copy of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, one copy of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and a copy of the state fee payment to the MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions) by certified mail or hand delivery.

Other:

If the applicant has checked the "yes" box in Section C, Items 1-3, above, refer to that section and the Instructions for additional submittal requirements.

The original and copies must be sent simultaneously. Failure by the applicant to send copies in a timely manner may result in dismissal of the Notice of Intent.

Page 7 of 7 * ELECTRONIC COPY



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP: Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands WPA Form 3 - Notice of Wetland FeeTransmittal Form

MassDEP File #: eDEP Transaction #:1677056 City/Town:FAIRHAVEN

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

A. Applicant Information

1. Applicant:											
a. First Name	VINCENT		b.Last Name	FURTADO							
c. Organization FAIRHAVEN BPW (BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS)											
d. Mailing Address 5 ARSENE STREET											
e. City/Town	FAIRHAVE	f. State	MA	g. Zip Code	02719						
h. Phone Number	5089794030	i. Fax	5089794086	j. Email	vfurado@fairhaven-ma.gov						
2.Property Owner:(if differ	rent)										
a. First Name	VINCENT		b. Last Name	FURTADO							
c. Organization	FAIRHAVEN BP	W (BOA	RD OF PUBLIC	WORKS)							
d. Mailing Address	84 MAIN STREE	Т									
e. City/Town	WILMINGTON	f.Stat	e MA	g. Zip Code	01887						
h. Phone Number	9786579714	i. Fay	5089794086	j.Email	vfurado@fairhaven-ma.gov						
3. Project Location:											
a. Street Address	COVE	E STREE	Γ	b. City/Town	FAIRHAVEN						
Are you exempted from F	ee? 🗖 (YOU HAV	E SELEC	TED 'YES')								
Note: Fee will be exempted	ed if you are one of th	e followir	ıg:								

- City/Town/County/District
- Municipal Housing Authority
- Indian Tribe Housing Authority
- MBTA

State agencies are only exempt if the fee is less than \$100

B. Fees

Activity Type	Activity Number	Activity Fee	RF Multiplier	Sub Total		
	City/Town s \$0.00	hare of filling fee	State share of filing fee \$0.00	Total Project Fee \$0.00		

Page 1 of 1 * ELECTRONIC COPY



TOWN OF FAIRHAVEN, MASSACHUSETTS

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Town Hall · 40 Center Street · Fairhaven, MA 02719

APPLICATION CHECKLIST NOTICE OF INTENT

Please	submit	the following to the Fairhaven Conservation Commission:
\mathbf{M}	A check	t for \$75.00, advertising fee
☑	A check (Town pro A check	t for the Town's share of the state Wetlands Protection Act Filing Fee (<u>NOI fee transmittal form</u>) ject, fee exempted, NOI fee transmittal form only) t for the Fairhaven Wetlands Bylaw filing fee (see <u>Fee Schedule</u>) (Fee waiver requested, Town project)
\checkmark	Two (2)	collated packets (1 original, 1 copy), each containing the following:
		Completely filled out and signed copy of the most recent WPA Form 3, available at https://www.mass.gov/how-to/wpa-form-3-wetlands-notice-of-intent
		A detailed narrative describing the property, delineated resource area(s) and methods of delineation, proposed activity and/or work, including how the work will be done, location of storage materials, how the site will be accessed by equipment, etc., and any other information that will help the Commission understand your project.
		Complete copies of project plans that include the following information: Locus map – i.e. USGS Quad topographic map Location of all known resource areas, including sequentially numbered flags Date the delineation was completed 50- and 100-foot buffer lines from resource areas 200-foot Riverfront Area, if applicable FEMA Flood Zone boundaries, if applicable Location of existing structures and/or vegetation, including all trees 8" dbh or greater Location of proposed structures and/or vegetation Shortest distance from proposed disturbed areas to known resources Topography in 2-ft contour intervals Proposed grading and drainage Erosion and sedimentation controls
	\checkmark	An 11" x 17" set of project plans if they are larger
	\checkmark	Abutters List (a list of property owners that are within 100 feet of the property where the project is taking place, see <u>Abutter List Request Form</u>)
	\checkmark	Notification to Abutters Form – filled out by applicant
		Any other information that will help the Commission understand your project
	Proof of <i>Conser</i>	abutter notification by certified mail or hand delivery. <i>Failure to present proof will result in the vation Commission NOT hearing your application.</i>
√	Proof th	at a complete copy of the above packet was sent to: MA Department of Environmental Protection Southeast Regional Office 20 Riverside Drive Lakeville, MA 02347
	lf applic Endang	able, proof that a complete copy of the above packet was sent to the MA Natural Heritage & ered Species Program and the MA Division of Marine Fisheries
	Submis shorelin	sion of a complete copy of the NOI to the US EPA at <u>NewBedfordHarbor@epa.gov</u> if it is a e project and falls within New Bedford Harbor north of the hurricane barrier
\checkmark	An elec <u>ma.gov</u>	tronic copy of the entire application packet, thumb drive or emailed to <u>conservation@fairhaven-</u>



TOWN OF FAIRHAVEN, MASSACHUSETTS

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Town Hall · 40 Center Street · Fairhaven, MA 02719

21-DAY WAIVER

Date:

I, Town of Fairhaven C/O GCG Associates, Inc. Name of Applicant or Representative

public hearing/meeting following receipt of my filing of:

X Notice of Intent

D Request for Determination of Applicability

□ Other _____

by the Fairhaven Conservation Commission under Massachusetts General Laws, Ch. 131, §40, an/or under Fairhaven General Bylaws, Chapter 192, Wetlands.

The request was submitted on:	February 12, 2024	for work at:	Cove Street		
1	Date Received in Conservation Dep	t.	Location/Address of Project		

Please be advised that you will be notified of the meeting date once this application has been assigned to a Conservation Commission Meeting Agenda.

I am the:

ApplicantApplicant's

Applicant's Representative

Property Owner

Michael Carter, P.E., P.L.S.

Signature

02/12/2024

Date

Cove Street Utilities Improvement - Fairhaven, Massachusetts

Date: February 12, 2024

Project Narrative:

Cove Street is an existing gravel road connecting Hathaway Street and Beachwood Street. Cove Street consists of 40 feet right-of-way and serves 9 residential dwellings and 7 vacant lots. The existing gravel road width varies between 14 to 20 feet and approximately 580 feet in length. Cove Street is in Flood Zone AE (EL 14) and coastal flood velocity zone VE (EL 16), and subject to 310 CMR 10.00 -Wetlands Project Act regulations. The current MassMapper/MassGIS wetlands layer (2005) identified the southerly portion of the Cove Street gravel road between Station 1+65+/- to Station 2+55+/- as Coastal Dune resource area and three-quarter of the gravel road width section between Station 3+60+/- to Station 4+20+/- as Coastal Beach resource area. Based on the GCG's December 2021 field survey and site visit observations, the Coastal Dune and Coastal Beach boundaries as shown on the MassMapper layer, which contradicts the definitions of Coastal Dune and Coastal Beach. Per 310 CMR 10.27 (2) -Definitions, "Coastal Beach means unconsolidated sediment subject to wave, tidal and coastal storm action which forms the gently sloping shore of a body of salt water and includes tidal flats. Coastal beaches extend from the mean low water line landward to the dune line, coastal bankline or the seaward edge of existing human-made structures, when these structures replace one of the above lines, whichever is closest to the ocean." The existing Cove Street gravel road is a human-made structure constructed with selected gravel base and proceeded gravel top. Therefore, the edge of existing gravel roadway defines the boundary of coastal beach as shown on the revised plan. In addition, 310 CMR 10.28 (2) – Definitions, "Coastal Dune means any natural hill, mound or ridge of sediment landward of a coastal beach deposited by wind action or storm overwash. Coastal dune also means sediment deposited by artificial means and serving the purpose of storm damage prevention or flood control." Since the existing gravel road is relatively flat, there is no indication of elevation changes to show a mound or dune deposit. GCG has delineated the edge of the costal dune by the grade changes (based on the ground elevations obtained from drone survey) along the gravel road shoulder as shown on the updated plan set.

This project proposes to add two new catch basins and convert one drop inlet to a drain manhole on Cove Street. The additional catch basins will tie into the existing drainage system, which discharges through a 15" RCP and outfall to Buzzard's Bay. The additions to the existing drainage system will provide deep sump hooded catch basins pre-treatments to the stormwater runoff. Existing 8" corrugated metal drainpipes at the western portion of Cove Street will be replaced with ductile iron pipe to improve its longevity. A new water main will be installed on Cove Street to loop the Hathaway Street and Beachwood water networks to eliminate dead end water mains and improve the drinking water quality. The roadway gravel road to remain. Utility trenches will be backfilled with selected gravel to match existing.

This project is a "re-development project" per MSH Standard #7 and a "limited project" per 310 CMR 10.24(7)(c)1 – 'Maintenance and improvement of existing public roadways, but limited to widening less than a single lane, adding shoulders, correcting substandard intersections, and improving drainage systems." Cove Street is an accepted Town road, the existing compacted gravel road remains, and the proposed utilities trench will be resurfaced with the gravel surface to match existing, with no new impervious surface. Hence, there will not be any changes of the drainage peak flow rate and runoff volume in storm events. (See Standard #2). Furthermore, this project qualified for Re-development and Limited project status.

Stormwater Management Requirements. (For Redevelopment Project)

Standard #1 - no new outfall untreated. The two existing outfalls are located on Cove Street in Buzzards Bay. One enters the bay to the west of #32 Cove Street and the other to the west of #12 Cove Street. Therefore, the project conforms with standard #1. No new outfall proposed. The west of #12 Cove Street will be improved with deep sump hooded catch basin for pretreatments.

Standard #2 – no increase of peak runoff, (maximum extent practicable for re-development project). This project will maintain the existing gravel roadway surface with no increase of impervious coverage. Therefore, there will not be any changes for the surface runoff and volume for all storm events.

Standard #3 – Groundwater Recharge, (maximum extent practicable for re-development project). No new impervious area proposed. Hence, no additional groundwater recharge volume required.

Standard #4 – TSS removal - as a minimum, pre-treatment should be provided for redevelopment project. The project proposed two new deep sump hooded catch basins to provide additional pre-treatments for this re-development and limited project.

Standard #5 – LUHPPL. Not applicable.

Standard #6 - Zone II. Not applicable.

Standard #7 – This project is a redevelopment and limited project, no new impervious surface proposed. This is a roadway utilities improvement project only; existing gravel road remains.

Standard #8 - Construction period O&M plan is included in the NOI package, (copy attached).

Standard #9 - Long term O&M Plan is included in the NOI package, (copy attached). Please be aware that the Town (DPW) does not just maintain any specific project(s) but maintains the entire Town according to the MS4 permit requirements.

Standard #10 – No Illicit discharge – a Statement is included in the NOI package, (copy attached).

Town of Fairhaven Article 37: Amendments to the Town's Planning By-Laws Chapter 198-31.1 Stormwater Management.

This project is a re-development project exclusively limited to maintenance and improvement of existing roadway, and the proposed drainage BMPs improved existing conditions. Therefore, this project meets Article 37-3 (c) requirements.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.¹ This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8²
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

¹ The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

² For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.

Note: Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Long-term Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



Michael Catrer

02/12/2024

Signature and Date

Checklist

Project Type: Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

New development



Mix of New Development and Redevelopment



Checklist (continued)

LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

	No disturbance to any Wetland Resource Areas
	Site Design Practices (e.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
	Reduced Impervious Area (Redevelopment Only)
\boxtimes	Minimizing disturbance to existing trees and shrubs
	LID Site Design Credit Requested:
	Credit 1
	Credit 2
	Credit 3
	Use of "country drainage" versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
	Bioretention Cells (includes Rain Gardens)
	Constructed Stormwater Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
	Treebox Filter
	Water Quality Swale
	Grass Channel
	Green Roof
	Other (describe):
Sta	ndard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

 \boxtimes No new untreated discharges

- Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



Checklist (continued)

Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

- Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.
- Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.

□ Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed predevelopment rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24hour storm.

Standard 3: Recharge

Soil Analysis provided.

- Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.
- Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.

Static	Simple Dynamic
--------	----------------

Dynamic Field¹

	Runoff from all impervious	areas at the site discharg	ing to the infiltration BMP.
--	----------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------

Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.

Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume.

Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume only to the maximum
extent practicable for the following reason:

- M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000
- Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000
- Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.
- Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.

Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

¹ 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



Checklist (continued)

Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.

Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

Standard 4: Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
- Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
- Vehicle washing controls;
- Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
- Spill prevention and response plans;
- Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
- Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
- Pet waste management provisions;
- Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
- Provisions for solid waste management;
- Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
- Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
- Street sweeping schedules;
- Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
- Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
- Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
- List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.
- ☐ Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:
 - is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
 - is near or to other critical areas
 - is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
 - involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.
- The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.



C	Checklist (continued)
S	tandard 4: Water Quality (continued)
] The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:
	The $\frac{1}{2}$ " or 1" Water Quality Volume or
	The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.
	The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs.
	A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.
S	tandard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)
	 The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report. The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted <i>prior</i> <i>to</i> the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.
] The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does <i>not</i> cover the land use.
] LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.
	All exposure has been eliminated.
	All exposure has <i>not</i> been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.
Γ	The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.
S	tandard 6: Critical Areas
	The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.

Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

- The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:
 - ☐ Limited Project
 - Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
 - Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
 - Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
 - Bike Path and/or Foot Path
 - Redevelopment Project
 - Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.
- Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.

☐ The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
- Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
- Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
- Vegetation Planning;
- Site Development Plan;
- Construction Sequencing Plan;
- Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Inspection Schedule;
- Maintenance Schedule;
- Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.



Checklist (continued)

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued)

- ☐ The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has *not* been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted *before* land disturbance begins.
- The project is *not* covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

- The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:
 - Name of the stormwater management system owners;
 - Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
 - Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
 - Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
 - Description and delineation of public safety features;
 - Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
 - Operation and Maintenance Log Form.
- The responsible party is **not** the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:
 - A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
 - A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

- The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;
- An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;
- NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted *prior to* the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.

APPENDIX A: Project Maps

> Cove Street Improvement Project Cove Street Fairhaven, MA GCG File #21109

Cove Street Improvement Project Cove Street Fairhaven, MA GCG File #21109



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette







Cove Street, Wetlands



DEP Wetlands Detailed With Outlines

- 📘 Barrier Beach System
- Barrier Beach-Deep Marsh
 Barrier Beach-Wooded Swamp Mixed Trees
 Barrier Beach-Coastal Beach
- Barrier Beach-Coastal Dune
 Barrier Beach-Marsh
 Barrier Beach-Salt Marsh
 Barrier Beach-Shrub Swamp
 Barrier Beach-Wooded Swamp Coniferous
 Barrier Beach-Wooded Swamp Deciduous
 - 🚺 Bog 🛃 Coastal Bank Bluff or Sea Cliff
 - - 🞇 Coastal Beach 🗾 Coastal Dune

 - 🗾 Cranberry Bog 🚺 Deep Marsh
- Barrier Beach-Open Water
 Open Water
 Rocky Intertidal Shore
- 🔟 Salt Marsh
- Shallow Marsh Meadow or Fen
 - - 🛄 Shrub Swamp
 - 🖸 Tidal Flat
- 💟 Wooded Swamp Mixed Trees 🛐 Wooded Swamp Coniferous 🔯 Wooded Swamp Deciduous

Property Tax Parcels

Cove Street, Fairhaven, MA



NHESP Priority Habitats of Rare Species

NHESP Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife

Property Tax Parcels

APPENDIX B: Soil Report

> Cove Street Improvement Project Cove Street Fairhaven, MA GCG File #21109



United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants Custom Soil Resource Report for Bristol County, Massachusetts, Southern Part



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map (Cove Street Soil Map)	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend (Cove Street Soil Map)	11
Map Unit Descriptions (Cove Street Soil Map)	11
Bristol County, Massachusetts, Southern Part	13
70B—Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	13
610—Beaches, sand	14
References	16

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



ດ

Γ

MAP INFORMATION	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	Ernargement of maps beyond the scare of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	measurements.	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area A projection that preserves area such as the	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as	of the version date(s) listed below.	Soil Survey Area: Bristol County, Massachusetts, Southern Part	Survey Area Data: Version 15, Sep 2, 2021	Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales	1:50,000 or larger.	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Dec 31, 2009—Jul 3.	2017	The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
MAP LEGEND	Area of Interest (AOI) Rest Spoil Area Area of Interest (AOI) Area Stony Spot	Soil Map Unit Polygons 👷 Very Stony Spot	Soil Map Unit Points Conter Special Line Features	Special Point Features Blowout Water Features	Borrow Pit Calears and Calears	Closed Depression	Gravel Pit US Routes	🔹 Gravelly Spot 🧼 Major Roads	🚳 Landfill 🔊 🖉 Local Roads	🙏 Lava Flow 🛛 Background	👞 Marsh or swamp 🜉 Aerial Photography	🙊 Mine or Quarry	Miscellaneous Water	💿 Perennial Water	Rock Outcrop	+ Saline Spot	sandy Spot	Severely Eroded Spot	Sinkhole	Slide or Slip	Sodic Spot

Map Unit Legend (Cove Street Soil Map)

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
70B	Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	3.4	74.6%
610	Beaches, sand	1.2	25.4%
Totals for Area of Interest		4.6	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions (Cove Street Soil Map)

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the

development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Bristol County, Massachusetts, Southern Part

70B—Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2xffw Elevation: 0 to 1,030 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Ridgebury and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Ridgebury

Setting

Landform: Hills, drainageways, drumlins, depressions, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, head slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Coarse-loamy lodgment till derived from gneiss, granite, and/or schist

Typical profile

Oe - 0 to 1 inches: moderately decomposed plant material

A - 1 to 6 inches: fine sandy loam

Bw - 6 to 10 inches: sandy loam

Bg - 10 to 19 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Cd - 19 to 66 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 15 to 35 inches to densic material
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.14 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 6 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: F144AY009CT - Wet Till Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Minor Components

Woodbridge

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Landform: Ground moraines, hills, drumlins Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, backslope, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Scituate

Percent of map unit: 4 percent Landform: Ground moraines, hills, drumlins Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, backslope, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Whitman

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Drumlins, ground moraines, hills, drainageways, depressions Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

610—Beaches, sand

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2y080 Elevation: 0 to 20 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 145 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Beaches, sandy surface: 90 percent Minor components: 10 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Beaches, Sandy Surface

Setting

Landform: Shores, beaches, barrier beaches, back-barrier beaches Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser *Down-slope shape:* Convex *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Parent material:* Beach sand

Typical profile

C1 - 0 to 10 inches: sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 8 percent
Runoff class: Negligible
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to very high (1.42 to 99.90 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 12 inches
Frequency of flooding: Very frequent
Maximum salinity: Moderately saline to strongly saline (8.0 to 16.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 0.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydric soil rating: Unranked

Minor Components

Beaches, cobbly surface

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Landform: Shores, beaches, barrier beaches, back-barrier beaches Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Unranked

Beaches, bouldery surface

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Shores, beaches, barrier beaches, back-barrier beaches Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Unranked

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

APPENDIX C: Stormwater Standards

Cove Street Improvement Project Cove Street Fairhaven, MA GCG File #21109

Cove Street Improvement Project Cove Street Fairhaven, MA GCG File #21109

STORMWATER AND DRAINAGE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN

Name of Project: Cove Street Utilities Improvement

Location: Cove Street, Fairhaven, Massachusetts

Name of Owner/Operator: Fairhaven BPW, 5 Arsene Street, Fairhaven, MA 02719

Owner/Operator Signature: , Date _____,

I. INTRODUCTION

The maintenance program below provides for a general plan with specific requirements for stormwater management controls for <u>Cove Street, Fairhaven, MA.</u> The program is based on the recommended standards presented in the DEP Stormwater Management Policy Handbook Volume 2, Chapter 2 and Guidelines for Stormwater Management and Controlling Urban Runoff: A Practical Manual for Planning and Designing Urban BMPs, by Thomas R. Schueler, July 1987.

II. RESPONSIBILITY AND IMPLEMENTATION

The property owner is the owner of all components of the drainage system as listed in Section III below, The implementation, execution, and financing of this maintenance program and emergency repairs shall be the responsibility of the property owner.

III. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Construction activities shall conform to the approved site plans and any other regulations or requirements of the Town of Fairhaven. Mulch filter tubes, silt fence and construction entrance shall be installed at the limit of work prior to construction. All sediment controls shall be in place before construction shall begin and shall be properly maintained throughout the course of construction. During construction, silt laden runoff shall not be permitted to enter the nearby wetlands or abutting properties.

All BMPs and sediment controls shall be inspected, by the Applicant and/or assignee during construction, on a weekly basis and within 24 hours of a rain event that generates more than $\frac{1}{2}$ of rain in a 24 hour period. Once construction is complete, it shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the existing Operation & Maintenance Plan.

Should any dewatering activities be required, the Applicant shall make certain that all pumped water is free of sediment prior to discharging to the nearby wetlands. The methods for removing any sediment shall be approved by the Town prior to any dewatering activities commence.

IV. BMP MAINTENANCE

Maintenance of Facilities: The Owner agrees to comply with a minimum maintenance schedule as follows:

- A. Install sediment control silt sacks to all catch basins (existing and proposed) during construction.
- B. Inspect erosion control weekly and repair eroded areas during inspection. Re-mulch or re-vegetate void areas as needed. Remove litter and debris weekly.
- C. Grassed Area: Maintain vegetation; mow or cut back if impedes water movement or grass health. Inspect eroded areas repair and reseed as needed.

V. <u>GENERAL</u>

Dispose of the collected grit, sediment and debris in accordance with current Town/City State and Federal guidelines and regulations.

Long Term Operation and Maintenance

Deep Sump Hooded Catch Basins – Inspect and clean grate and sump four times per year and/or per Fairhaven DPW town wide O&M schedule standards under MS4 permit requirements.

Operation and Maintenance Budget

Inspection: \$300 per year

Mowing: \$300 per year

Cleaning and remove sediment: \$300 per year

Total annual budget = \$900

STANDARD #10

STORMWATER AND DRAINAGE ILLICIT DISCHARGE STATEMENT

Proposed Drainage Improvements Fairhaven BPW Cove Street FAIRHAVEN, MASSACHUSETTS

All illicit discharges to the stormwater management system are prohibited.

I. STATEMENT

This site as shown on the plan titled "Cove Street Utilities Improvement" Cove Street, Fairhaven MA, prepared by GCG Associates, dated April 18, 2023, last revised February 09, 2024 does not contain any illicit discharges, this was confirmed using visual screening as required by standard 10 of the "Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook" Vol. 1, Ch. 1 page 25. The project proponent, owner, or lessee (in perpetuity) must comply with local, state, and federal regulations for the discharge of illicit discharges from the site. Illicit discharges are discharges that are not entirely comprised of storm water. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an illicit discharge does not include discharges from the following activities:

- Fire fighting
- Water line flushing
- Landscape irrigation
- Uncontaminated ground water
- Potable water sources
- Foundation drains
- Air conditioning condensation
- Footing drains
- Individual car washing
- Water used for street washing and water used to clean residential buildings without detergents

The project proponent, owner, or lessee (in perpetuity) shall adhere to this report on file with the Town of Fairhaven Conservation Commission.

APPENDIX D: Project Abutter Information

Cove Street Improvement Project Cove Street Fairhaven, MA GCG File #21109

Notification to Abutters Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Fairhaven Wetlands Bylaw

(this form must be completed and copies sent by certified mail or hand delivery to all abutters within 100 feet of the property where the project is located)

In accordance with the Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 131, Section 40 (the Wetlands Protection Act) and the Fairhaven Wetlands Bylaw (Chapter 192), you are hereby notified of the following:

- 1. The applicant's name is ______
- The applicant has filed the following with the Fairhaven Conservation Commission:
 Request for Determination of Applicability
 - Notice of Intent
 - Request to Amend an existing Order of Conditions
 - Notice of Resource Area Delineation
- 3. The address or location of the site where the activity, project, or delineation is proposed is:

_____, Fairhaven, MA.

4. The proposed work includes _____

- 5. Copies of the above application may be examined at the Conservation Office, located in Town Hall, 40 Center Street, Fairhaven, MA 02719, between 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM, Monday through Friday. Copies may be obtained at the office if notified in advance or from the applicant.
- 6. Applications will also be uploaded to <u>www.fairhaven-ma.gov/conservation-</u> <u>commission/pages/current-filings</u>. If you are unable to access or view the application electronically, please contact the Conservation Office at 508-979-4023, ext. 128.
- 7. Notice of the public hearing including its date, time, and place will be published at least five business days in advance in the Fairhaven Neighborhood News, and will be posted on the Fairhaven Town Website and at the Fairhaven Town Hall not less than 48 hours in advance.

PLEASE NOTE:

Since you are receiving this notice, you may have wetland resource areas or wetland buffers on your property. Therefore, construction, cutting, clearing, or grading may require a permit. For clarification or for more information, call the Conservation Agent at 508-979-4082 or visit our website.



Town of Fairhaven Massachusetts BOARD OF ASSESSORS 40 Center Street Fairhaven, MA 02719

Ronnie Manzone, Chairman Pamela K. Davis, MAA, Member Ellis B. Withington, Member

Joanne Correia, Assessor Phone: (508) 979-4023 X 8111 Facsimile: (508) 979-4079 Email: jcorreia@fairhaven-ma.gov

February 9, 2024

This letter certifies that the attached 100-foot abutter's list for Cove St Right-of-Way as requested and submitted to the Assessors' Office on 2/8/2024 by Anthony Ma has been checked and is accurate according to our records.

Kathleen M. Sylvia

Administrative Assistant Fairhaven Assessors' Office

COVE STREET 100 FT ABUTTERS

MAP/LOT	SITE ADDRESS	OWNER ON RECORD	MAILING ADDRESS			
28A-497	63 MANHATTAN AVENUE	TOWN OF FAIRHAVEN CONSERVATION	40 CENTER STREET	FAIRHAVEN	MA	02719
28B-006	8 HATHAWAY STREET	REBELLO DAVID & FILOMENA	8 HATHAWAY STREET	FAIRHAVEN	MA	02719
28B-034	32 COVE STREET	VALENTIM JOSE & GRACE	32 COVE STREET	FAIRHAVEN	MA	02719
28B-034C	26 COVE STREET	MARIO B GOMES & ANTONIO B GOMES TRUSTEES OF THE BASTOS REALTY TRUST	400 EAST STREET	WALPOLE	MA	02081
28B-035	30 COVE STREET	HERRETT JANET LEE	18 TUCKER TERRACE	RAYNHAM	MA	02767
28B-036	28 COVE STREET	BUSHELL BARBARA A	28 COVE STREET	FAIRHAVEN	MA	02719
28B-040	0 COVE STREET	SITARZ MICHAEL J & PAULA	25 STRATFORD DRIVE	N DARTMOUTH	MA	02747
28B-041	0 COVE STREET	CHASE BARRY G	3 MANOR DRIVE	FAIRHAVEN	MA	02719
28B- 041A, 41D	0 BEACH COVE STREET	TOWN OF FAIRHAVEN	40 CENTER STREET	FAIRHAVEN	MA	02719
28B-043	20 COVE STREET	PAUL & MARIE RICHARD FAMILY GROUP LLP	44 PINE STREET	NEWINGTON	СТ	06111
28B-044	0 COVE STREET	FLANAGAN JAMES A & ELIZABETH A	2 SEARS ISLAND DRIVE	LAKEVILLE	MA	02347
28B-045	0 COVE STREET	PERRY MURIEL C/O KATHLEEN MARIE MINER	542 SNIPATUIT RD.	ROCHESTER	MA	02770
28B-046	12 COVE STREET	QUIRION MICHAEL R & SUSANNAH L	74 HINCKLEY ROAD	MILTON	MA	02186
28B-047	10 COVE STREET	ROGER J & ANNA L LAROCQUE TRUSTEES OF THE LAROCQUE FAMILY LIVING TRUST	182 WASHINGTON STREET	NEW BEDFORD	MA	02740
28B-048	6 COVE STREET	FALL DAVID T & MICHELLE L	332 EASTERN AVENUE	FALL RIVER	MA	02723

2/12/2024

COVE STREET 100 FT ABUTTERS

28B-050	4 COVE STREET	CAROL A GARNETT MANGHAN & JOANN RODERIGUES MANGHAN	6 RIVER STREET	ACUSHNET	MA	02743
28B-051	18 BEACHWOOD STREET	NORMANDIN RENE A JR	101 PEARY MOUNTAIN RD	BROWNFIELD	ME	04010
28B-052	20 BEACHWOOD STREET	MILLS FRANCES	142 NYES LANE	ACUSHNET	ΨM	02743
28B-053	27 COVE STREET	NICOLAS J CARDOSO	27 COVE STREET	FAIRHAVEN	Ψ	02719
28B-055	21 COVE STREET	MARSHALL JOSEPH & PATRICIA	18 ABNER POTTER WAY	S DARTMOUTH	MA	02748
28B-061A	0 COVE ST & 10 BEACHWOOD STREET	KADIE & KYLE HODNETT	962 WINFIELD LANE	DIGHTON	MA	2715
28B-063	13 HATHAWAY STREET	RCQ PROPERTIES LLC	15 BEACHWOOD STREET	FAIRHAVEN	MA	02719
28B-066 & 59	2 BEACHWOOD STREET	SANTOS JAMES	12778 CIRCLE LAKE DR	NOSON	L L	34669
28B-114	11 BEACHWOOD STREET	HAGGIS APRIL	11 BEACHWOOD STREET	FAIRHAVEN	Ψ	02719
28B-116	15 BEACHWOOD STREET	KISBERT JACQUELINE	45 BRIERCLIFFE ROAD	FAIRHAVEN	ΨM	02719
28B-117	17 BEACHWOOD STREET	AGOSTINHO F PINTO JR, TRUSTEE OF THE PINTO FAMILY IRREV. TRUST	17 BEACHWOOD STREET	FAIRHAVEN	MA	02719
28B-119	40 POINT STREET	KEITH & ANKE KREISHER	10 BONNIEVALE DR	BEDFORD	MA	1730
28B-121	0 BEACHWOOD STREET	MILLS FRANCES	142 NYES LANE	ACUSHNET	MA	02743

III REFERENCES

Cove Street Utilities Improvement, Notice of Intent, Town of Fairhaven, Massachusetts (4 Sheets)

Cove Street Improvement Project Cove Street Fairhaven, MA GCG File #21109